



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Ad

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/199,305	11/25/98	OHSAWA	K P98.2198
------------	----------	--------	------------

MM42/1015

HILL & SIMPSON
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
85TH FLOOR SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO IL 60606

EXAMINER

THAILL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

8

DATE MAILED:

10/15/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/199,305

Applicant(s)
Ohsawa et al.

Examiner
Luan Thai

Group Art Unit
2811



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-13 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☒ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☒ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-5 and 11-13) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Claims 6-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. 1,142(b) as being drawn the a non-elected invention.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to by the PTO Draftsperson for the reasons noted on the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, form PTO-948.
3. Figures 4A, 7A, and 7B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2811

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chia et al. (5,841,191).

With respect to claims 1-2, Chia et al. discloses (see figures 1-2) a semiconductor device comprising: a plurality of wiring films 14' form on a front surface of a base 10' (see figure 2) comprising an insulating tape 10" (figure 2) and having electrode-forming holes 16 (Col. 2, lines 1+), the surfaces of the wiring films 14' and the surface of the base 10' being positioned on the same plane (see figure 2) and a part of the wiring films overlapping with the electrode-forming holes (see figure 2); a conductive material embedded into the electrode-forming holes (Col. 2, lines 6+) to form external electrodes on the back surface, away from the wiring films, of the base; a semiconductor element 20 positioned on the front surface of the base, the back surface of the semiconductor element being bonded to the front surface of the base; wires 24 for bonding the electrodes of the semiconductor element to the corresponding wiring films; and a resin sealed the semiconductor element and wires. Although Chia et al. does not specifically disclose the claimed insulating film therebetween the semiconductor element and the base, this feature is seen to be an inherent teaching of that device since a means of mounting the semiconductor element on the top surface of the base is disclosed (Col. 2, lines 63+) and it is apparent that some type of insulating material must be present between the semiconductor element and the base for securing the semiconductor element on the base.

Art Unit: 2811

With respect to claim 3, Chia et al. further discloses a metal ring 30 being bonded on the front surface of the base at the exterior of the connecting sections with wires in the wiring films 14' (see figure 2).

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Distefano et al. (5,821,608).

With respect to claims 1-2, Distefano et al. discloses (see figures 3F-3G) a semiconductor device comprising: a plurality of wiring films 50, 55 form on a front surface of a base 30 comprising an insulating tape 30' (see figure 3F-3G) and having electrode-forming holes 40' (see figure 3F), the surfaces of the wiring films 50' and the surface of the base 30' being positioned on the same plane (see figure 3G) and a part of the wiring films overlapping with the electrode-forming holes; a conductive material embedded into the electrode-forming holes 40' (see figure 3F) to form external electrodes on the back surface, away from the wiring films, of the base; a semiconductor element 10 positioned on the front surface of the base with an insulating film 80 therebetween, the back surface of the semiconductor element being bonded to the front surface of the base; wires 140 for bonding the electrodes 20 of the semiconductor element to the corresponding wiring films; and a resin 60' sealed the semiconductor element and wires.

With respect to claim 3, Distefano et al. further discloses a metal ring 110 being bonded on the front surface of the base at the exterior of the connecting sections with wires in the wiring films 14' (see figures 2F and 3G).

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al. (5,841,191) and/or Distefano et al. (5,821,608).

With respect to claims 11-12, Chia et al. and/or Distefano et al. disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention as detailed above with the exception of the semiconductor device being comprised of an electronic device. It would have been obvious for the semiconductor device as taught by Chia et al. and/or Distefano et al. to be used as intended in a larger electronic device.

9. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al. (5,841,191) and/or Distefano et al. (5,821,608) in view of McCormick et al. (5,909,057).

With respect to claims 4 and 13, Chia et al. and/or Distefano et al. disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention as detailed above with the exception of a reinforcement having a downward indented face covering the semiconductor element.

McCormick et al. while relates to a similar semiconductor device teaches (see figures 2B-2F and 4A-4B) a reinforcement 214 having a downward indented face covering the

Art Unit: 2811

semiconductor element 200 in order to prevent the semiconductor element from being warping or other wise moving during the curing step (Col. 8, lines 1+).

McCormick et al., Chia et al. and/or Distefano et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is the semiconductor art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the reinforcement as taught by McCormick et al. into Chia et al.'s and/or Distefano et al.'s device in order to prevent the semiconductor element from being warping or other wise moving during the curing step.

10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al. (5,841,191) in view of Shim et al. (5,708,567).

With respect to claim 5, Chia et al. discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as detailed above with the exception of the base having vent holes.

Shim et al. while relates to a similar semiconductor device teaches (see figure 1) the base 20 having the vent holes 23 is well known in the art for the purpose of generating the heat from the semiconductor chip (Col. 1, lines 45+).

Chia et al. and Shim et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is the semiconductor art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply the conventional vent holes formed in the base as taught by Shim et al. into Chia et al.'s device for the purpose of generating the heat from the semiconductor chip.

Art Unit: 2811

11. The following references are cited as of interest to this application:

U.S. Patent No. 5,909,085 to Yano et al. is cited for showing the surface of the wiring film and the surface of the base being positioned on the same plane and U.S. Patent No. 5,859,475 to Freyman et al. is cited for showing the metal ring being bonded on the front surface of the base.

12. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to **Luan Thai** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1211**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0956**.

10/01/99

Luan Thai

STANDARD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
(GROUP 2811)

Steven Loke